



**Response under 37 C.F.R. 1.116  
- Expedited Examining Procedure -  
Examining Group 1752**

*AF*  
*AFPSW*

**MAIL STOP AF  
85754JLT**

**Customer No. 01333**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

*SLC 19 Sept 2005*  
*AFPSW*  
*TC*

**In re Application of:**

**Lilia P. Burleva, et al**

**METHOD FOR CHEMICAL  
SENSITIZATION OF SILVER  
HALIDE FOR  
PHOTOTHERMOGRAPHIC USE**

**Serial No. 10/731,680**

**Filed 09 December 2003**

**Group Art Unit: 1752**

**Examiner: Chea, Thorl**

**I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited today with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner For Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

*Sherry A. Payne*  
**Sherry A. Payne**

*September 19, 2005*  
**September 19, 2005**

**Date**

**Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450**

**Sir:**

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116**

In response to the Office Action dated July 26, 2005, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the "final" rejection of the claims of the present application. The claims of this application have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) for obviousness and under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting.

**Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103**

I. In paragraph 2 of the Office Action, Claims 1-4, 9-15, and 23-27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over the combination of U.S. 5,891,615 (Winslow et al.), U.S. Patent 6,274,297 (Uytterhoeven et al.), and U.S. Patent 3,457,075 (Morgan et al.).

II. In paragraph 3 of the Office Action, Claims 6-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over Winslow et al.,